Dialup IP: The Next Wave

Interop 1993 Spring Washington DC Convention Center

> Global Interop Conference Network "Pipes" track

> > March 12, 1993

Abstract

We are now seeing a proliferation of low-bandwidth solutions that make it much easier to extend IP networks into the realm of dialup networking. In this session, we hear from three perspectives: a technologist who explains how this technology integrates into existing network architectures, a network provider who addresses the challenges of adding dialup IP to a service offering, and a user who discusses the impact of this technology on his company's networking capabilities.

Overview

- Moderator: Robert A. Sutterfield, Morning Star Technologies
- The Technology: Steven M. Bellovin, AT&T Bell Laboratories Where does dialup IP fit into the existing network infrastructure?
- Network Service: Paul A. Ebersman, UUNET Technologies Inc.
 How would you use dialup IP for Internet access?
- Effect on Users: Gordon C. Galligher, Swiss Bank Corporation How does dialup IP affect corporate computer and network users?

How to reach the moderator

Robert A. Sutterfield Morning Star Technologies 1760 Zollinger Road Columbus, OH USA 43221 +1 614 451 1883 bob@morningstar.com

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

Implications of PPP

Steven M. Bellovin AT&T Bell Laboratories Murray Hill, NJ 07974 smb@research.att.com

What's a PPP?

- "Point-to-Point Protocol"
- Link layer and below; no effect on IP or above
- Connects routers to routers, and hosts to servers
- Usable from 9600 bps to DS1 and above

Where PPP Fits

Applications		Applications
TCP or UDP		TCP or UDP
IP		IP
Ethernet or PPP	\Leftrightarrow	Ethernet or PPP

Implications

- All standard applications work
- No special protocols needed for mail, file transfer, X11, etc.
- Some work better than others over low-speed links semantic model of bandwidth is wrong
- \implies But they do work

New Abilities

- Able to interconnect different brands of routers (boring doesn't change what we can do)
- Dialup IP users are everywhere
- \implies Speak IP anywhere there's a phone cellular IP
 - Logical topology no longer matches physical topology

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

Challenges of PPP

- Intermittent availability
- Address space
- Routing protocols
- Security
- Heterogeneous mix of clients
- Performance

Intermittent availability

- What should be done about mail? Queue it for eventual delivery? Use file servers or mail servers? (Violates the "no change" claim)
- What policies should be followed for connection management? What about retransmitted packets queued during redial attempts?
- Who should pay for the calls?

Address Space — How Do We Allocate IP Addresses?

- An IP network for each link? Wastes a scarce resource
- An IP subnet for each link? Better, but same general problem
- An IP network for each collection of dialup hosts? Hard to rehome clients for load-sharing, or if server dies
- Proxy ARP? Violates layering, but often the best solution

Routing Protocols

- What do we advertise for dialup links? Can we use multiple servers?
- Routing protocols can consume a lot of bandwidth can we afford it on clients?
- What about clients with several different servers? Several different servers simultaneously? (ISDN is coming...)
- Do we need a proxy routing protocol?

Security Issues

- Address- and privilege-based security mechanisms (i.e., rlogin and friends) become much weaker
- Physical security buys you much less you don't know what's at the other end of the line
- Strong filtering capabilities are *mandatory* (But supporting complex topologies in the presence of filters is hard)
- PPP provides strong authentication capabilities, but they have to be *used*
- Opportunity to bypass firewalls set up PPP link over telnet connections

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

Why PPP?

- Potential for strong security
- Negotiable parameters
- Multi-protocol IP, OSI, etc.
- Link quality management

Parameterization

- Different clients have different needs but maintaining central configuration files is difficult
- \implies With PPP, most parameters can be negotiated at link start-up
 - Parameters include packet size, client address, header compression

Address Negotiation

- Simple configuration client can announce its own address
- Or server can assign dynamic address to anonymous clients
- Server can announce its own address; permits easy load-sharing

PPP Security

- Allows multiple styles of authentication (including none)
- \implies Challenge/response authentication guards against wiretappers
 - Authentication integral to PPP; can be bound to address

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

Link Quality Management

- Loopback detection
- CRC check on every packet (good with some UDPs)
- Link statistics exchanged
- \implies Allows line manager to notify humans, redial, etc.

Performance Issues

- Typical TCP/IP headers: Fat and Repetitive
 - typically 40 header bytes around a 1-byte telnet packet
 - few change from one packet to the next
- Exploit natural redundancy in a stream, send only the changes
- "VJ" TCP header compression \implies three to five header bytes!
- Can't compress UDP packets, so NFS is very slow

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

Implications of PPP

Questions?

Using Dialup IP for Internet Access

Paul Ebersman UUNET Technologies, Inc. 3110 Fairview Park Drive, Suite 570 Falls Church, VA 22042 +1 703 204 8000 +1 800 4UUNET3 alternet-info@uunet.uu.net

Why use dialup IP?

- Can use hardware you may already have
- Hardware and software less expensive than leased line equipment
- Service provider fees lower
- More and more Internet Service providers are offering it

What do I need?

- Box to act as router
 - Dedicated SLIP/PPP router
 - Workstation acting as router
- Modem
 - Any V.32 or V.32bis modem

Know what you are buying.

Know what a dialup link will and won't do for you.

A dialup connection is a sometimes thing.

(How TCP/IP gets confused when you aren't there)

Things to consider

- DNS, NIS, etc.
- IP addresses
- Who gets your email and news?
- Do you connect from multiple places?

DNS

- Do your machines already do DNS?
- Will you need to use DNS and NIS?
- What nameservers do you use when the link is down?
- Who will act as the nameservers for your domain on the Internet?
- Will someone be running a reverse lookup server for you?

IP Addresses

- Do you always need the same IP address?
- Are you using real IP addresses internally?
- What networks need to know about you (NSFNet access, etc.)?
- Do other people need to get to you over the link?

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

Email and USENet News

- Mail spooling and retry intervals
- NNTP
- UUCP over TCP

Connecting from multiple places

- Dynamic IP address allocation
- Security (counterfeiting your network)

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

Using Dialup IP for Internet Access

Questions?

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

A User Perspective

Gordon Galligher Swiss Bank Corporation 141 W. Jackson Blvd - 11th floor Chicago, IL USA 60604 +1 312 554 5172 gorpong@il.us.swissbank.com

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

Antediluvian Times

- Normal LAN/WAN Setups
- Researched Dialup IP (SLIP and PPP)

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

Normal LAN/WAN Setups

(photo of dinosaur on terminal)

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

Disaster Strikes

- The Great [Chicago] Flood
- The CBOT basement flooded
- The Power went out

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

Equipment/File Retrieval

- Carry Workstations in Darkness
- Raid offices, flashlights in hand

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

Carry Workstations in Darkness

(photo of carrying workstations down flight of stairs)

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

Raid offices, flashlights in hand

(photo of raiding an office with a flashlight)

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

CBOT Recovers (partially)

- Basement pumped
- Partial Electricity restored

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

Basement pumped

(photo of street and workers pumping basement of CBOT)

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

Partial Electricity restored

(photo of when trading hours resume, and electrical power)

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

Setup Shop Off-Site

- In Lunchroom and Kitchen, 40 Miles West
- Aesthetics are not important
- Dining was a problem

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

In Lunchroom and Kitchen, 40 Miles West

(photo of people crammed into a small room)

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

Aesthetics are not important

(photo of spaghetti-cables and systems)

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

Dining was a problem

(photo of munchies, cookies, etc.)

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

Expensive Solutions

- Tasks phoned into remote office
- Work completed and Limousine transferred

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

Tasks phoned into remote office

(photo of monitors, telephones, people answering phones, etc.)

PPP Life Jacket

- Workstations in remote office and CBOT Annex
- Tasks executed in remote office
- Printouts immediately in CBOT Annex

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

Workstations in remote office and CBOT Annex

(photo of neatly setup 6 SS2 workstations and modems)

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

Greater Functionality

- Terminals attached to CBOT Annex systems
- Jobs executed locally by traders
- Information immediately propagated to Global Network

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

Benefits of PPP

- Decreased Cost
- Increased Response Time
- Detached Computing

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

Benefits of PPP (Cont'd)

- Robust (over a week with no interruption)
- Hands-Off Operation
- I was allowed to go on vacation

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

A User Perspective

Questions?

Bob Sutterfield: Dialup IP - The Next Wave

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

Dialup IP: The Next Wave

Questions?

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

SLIP vs PPP?

Gee, I'm glad you asked!

Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

Typical SLIP Packet Format



PPP Packet Format

Full PPP HDLC Frame

Flag	Addr	Ctrl	Protocol	user packet	FCS	Flag
0x7E	0xFF	0x03	0x????	0- <i>MTU</i> bytes	16 bits	0x7E

Typical PPP Packet Format



Interop 93 Spring: March 12, 1993

Comparing SLIP and PPP





PPP bigger by 3 bytes per frame, of which 2 are error detection

Additional transmission time is in the noise, especially over dialup links

Any user-level performance difference, in either throughput or latency, is unmeasurable and imperceptible